

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1 - 10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. This rejection has been traversed and, as such, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the allowability of claims 1 - 10.


2. While the applicant disagrees that the originally filed claims were obvious double patenting of its parent patent application (now U.S. Patent No. 6,707,367), the applicant has amended the claims to be more distinct from the claims of the parent patent. In particular, none of the claims of the parent patent application include a plurality of windings as is presently claimed.

For the foregoing reasons, the applicant believes that claims 1 - 10 are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be passed to allowance.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone or facsimile if the Examiner believes that such a communication would advance the prosecution of the present invention.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By:


Timothy W. Markison
Registration No. 33,534
Phone: (512) 342-0612
Fax No. (512) 342-1674

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Alexandria, Virginia 22313, on the date below:

9-27-04
Date


Signature